Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,230	OHASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KRISTEN C. MATTER	3771
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) KRISTEN C. MATTER.	(3)	
(2) <u>CHRIS GLEMBOCKI</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 December 2010	Time: <u>11 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, and 6-10		
Prior art documents discussed: US 5,143,295		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner directed applicant's attention to newly olden deference 5,143,295 and attempted to make an examiner's amendment moving any of claims 6:10 into their respective independent claims to move the case to allowance. Unfortunately, such a change could not be agreed upon at this time and examiner indicated that a Final Action would be sent out instead.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Kristen C. Matter/		
Examiner, Art Unit 3771	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)